

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

17 JUN 2005

	ant's oi 212-P	agent's file reference CT	FOR FURTHER	ACTION		n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/EP 03/14331			International filing dat 16.12.2003	e (day/mon	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.12.2002			
	ational (31/21	•	PC) or both national classification	n and IPC					
Applica		MBH & CO. KG et	t al.						
1.	This ir Authoi	ternational prelimina ity and is transmitted	ry examination report has be I to the applicant according t	een prepar o Article 3	ed by this Inte 6.	rnational Preliminary Examining			
2.	This R	EPORT consists of a	a total of 4 sheets, including	this cover	sheet.				
	t	een amended and a	companied by ANNEXES, i.e re the basis for this report ar Section 607 of the Administra	nd/or sheet	s containing re	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority he PCT).			
-	These	annexes consist of a	a total of sheets.						
3.	This re	port contains indicati	ions relating to the following	items:					
ı	ι Σ	Basis of the opin	nion						
ı	II C	_							
ı	III E	Non-establishme	ent of opinion with regard to	novelty, in	ventive step a	nd industrial applicability			
i	V E	Lack of unity of	invention	-		•			
\	V [2	Reasoned state citations and exp	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
\	VI [Certain docume	nts cited						
\	VII 🗆	Certain defects in the international application							
\	VIII C] Certain observat	tions on the international app	olication					
Date of	submi	ssion of the demand		Date of o	completion of this	s report			
15.07.	.2004			18.01.2	2005				
	nary exa	ling address of the interamining authority:		Authorize	ed Officer	. September Friedran, E.			
	<u>)))</u>	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx Fax: +49 89 2399 - 446	:: 523656 epmu d	Cattell,					
		aa. +43 03 2333 - 440	•	l elephor	ie No. +49 89 23	199-8468			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14331

I. Ba	asis	of	the	rep	oort
-------	------	----	-----	-----	------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

		De	escription, Pages						
		1-2	28	as originally filed					
		Cla	aims, Numbers						
)		1-1	16	as originally filed					
	2.	. Wi lan	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in t language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		Th	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
			the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
				plication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
			the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).					
	3.	Wit	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
			contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.					
			filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.					
			furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written form.					
			furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.					
			The statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.					
			The statement that the listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
	4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description,	pages:					
			the claims,	Nos.:					
			the drawings,	sheets:					
	5.		This report has been been considered to	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
			(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this					
	6.	Add	itional observations i	f necessary					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14331

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5,10,11,12

No: Claims 1-4,6,7,8,9,13-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inter EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/1433:

XAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE S

V.
 1). Document D1 (US 2001/0006662) discloses in paragraph 21 the use of Eudragits as an excipient for fenofibrate.

The assumption that Eudrigats are enteric binders is based on the Applicant's own submissions in the description page 7, lines 1 to 11.

Hence D1 falls within the scope of claims 1, 2,3,4,6,7,8,9,13, 14, 15 and 16 under Article 33(2) PCT.

- 2). Once knowing from D1 that enteric binders could be used, the subject-matter of the remaining claims would appear to be the selections of obvious alternatives under Article 33(3) PCT.

 There is nothing in the present description to show how these selections have produced any technical effect which would not have been predicted in comparison with the known use of Eudragit.
- 3) For the assessment of the present claim 15 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.